Future RIK Program

Bill based on deliveries

- ✓ Issues to resolve:
 - ➤ What month to bill (month following the month of production or one month later)?
 - See the discussion on imbalances later.
 - Questions on 1) what to do if production declines on a least ran accitional production be given to the refiner, and 2) if more volume becomes available in the Gulf (i.e., after the SPR project), can we give additional volumes to refiners.
 - * Initial thoughts were that we could maintain a volume form of consistency and add additional leases as production declines. Tucy want us to research this, see what problems occurred in the past and try to rigure out ways to work with OMM to prevent these problems. She does not think we need to add leases as volume declines. Everyone agreed that a new sale would be required is more Gulf volume becomes available, such as after the SPR project.
 - No bill, just have refiners report and pay
 - * Refiners have no problem with this; they will know their deliveries and prices. RMP can then verify deliveries to entitlements reported on the OGOR's and verify prices to contract terms.
- Title passes at the lease/FMP, but delivery at lease or market center
 - ✓ Issues to resolve:
 - Valuation how to value at lease/FMP
 - Answer could be market center price less estimate of transportation costs. Estimated cost could be from consultant.

- From refiner's standpoint, title passing at the lease much more difficult.
- ❖ From RVD standpoint, no way to get good valuation figures at the lease.
- ❖ Conclusion delivery at market center.
 - * Gravity bank issue. Refiners will pay at delivered quality (i.e., LLS at St. James is 37-38 degrees). Operators will have to adjust (+/-) for the difference between production quality at the royalty measurement point and this delivery quality (when the royalty settlement point is upstream or the same point as the quality bank)

Price Certainty

- ✓ Issues to resolve:
 - > Use the same mechanism as in current contracts but tie down deductions more.
 - Refiners will break down any deduction to all its components (Dennis Cernosek will draft).

• Lease Selection

- ✓ Issues to resolve:
 - Leases by area
 - Known pipeline systems
 - Transportation costs
 - ❖ Refiners are okay with areas under review (Eugene Island, South Marsh Island, Ship Shoal, Vermillion, Garden Banks, Green Canyon, and Grand Isle. They also would like more than the 70,000 - 80,000 barrels per day being discussed (one because they could use more volume, and two because they believe more small refiners will enter the program).
 - Bundle leases by grade of oil and put bundles in the lottery.

Imbalances

- ✓ Issues to resolve:
 - > Interest due to/from operators
 - > Decision was that interest would be charged or paid.
 - > Penalties for under-deliveries
 - > When to value
 - > Right to refuse delivery
 - ❖ We discussed two options:

Option 1 has deliveries starting immediately on the new contract date, an imbalance situation for the first two months of the contract that is not resolved until the end of the contract, and no other imbalance situations. Pricing is based on the month of delivery.

Month	Delivery	Entitlement
Jan '00	1000 based on 12/99 nomination	900
Feb '00	1000 based on 01/00 nomination	800
Mar '00	900 based on actual 01/00	950
Apr 100	800 based on actual 02/00	1000
Маў 100	950 based on actual 03/00	650
June 100	1000 based on actual 04/00	720
001 103	650 based on	630
Aug 103	actual 05/03 720 pased on	720
Ser 103	actual 06/03 630 based on	850
Oct '03 end of	720 based on	900
contract	actual 08/03	

Since delivery is based on actual production for two months prior, very little, if any, imbalance situations occur. The only imbalance is for the first two months of contract and this is only resolved at the end of the contract when Jan and Feb '00 deliveries are compared to Sep and Oct '03 entitlements. In the case above, refiners are over-

delivered by 150 barrels in Jan '00 and 100 barrels in Feb '00. This is corrected by a refund/credit to the operators for the value of those barrels at the end of the contract (Oct '03 prices). This option was favored by Mike Miller and Lucy Q.

Option 2 delays delivery for two months so that no imbalance situation occurs. The final delivery is two months after the contract terminates.

Month	Delivery	Entitlement
Jan '00	0	900
Feb '00	0	800
Mar '00	900 based on actual 01/00	950
Apr '00	800 based on actual 02/00	1000
May '00	950 based on actual 03/00	650
Jun 100	1000 based on actual 04/00	720
[Jul 103	650 based on actual 05/03	630
. Aug. 103	720 based on actual 06/03	720
Sep 103	630 based on actual 07/03	850
Ust 183 end of	720 based on actual 08/03	900
.nontract New Y Ca	850 based on actual 09/03	Reported in value
Jen 1 89	900 based on actual 10/03	Reported in value

Since delivery is based on actual production for two months prior, very little, if any, imbalance situations occur. Payments from refiners are delayed two months at the start of the contract and the final deliveries and payments are two months after the end of the contract.

Both options - we need to think about time value of money implications. Decision - pay or charge interest.

• Administrative Fees

- ✓ Issues to resolve:
 - > Per barrel
 - > Per value of deliveries
 - > Per lease
 - > \$20,000 up front cost
 - Refiners support per barrel price. RMP would calculate RIK section costs at the beginning of each Fiscal Year (NRS). That cost would be divided by the prior years delivered volumes (for the first year, it would be the estimate of volume to be delivered based on the RIK sale volumes). This price per barrel would be paid each month on the volume of deliveries that month. Note: this can result in RMP collecting more or less that the NRS cost of the RIK section.

Until the regulations are changed, we must use leases in this calculation. Also, IG believes we should be charging full cost to refiners, not just the active portion of RIK costs (Mike disagrees).

Sureties

- ✓ Issues to resolve:
 - Timeframe
 - > 90 days
 - ❖ Refiners are okay with 90-day sureties. They are leaving it up to us to protect the Governments interest. Rod Nelson stated that the cost of the surety is anywhere from \$.05 to \$.25 per parrel.

Question - after a long-term relation, can you waive surety requirement. Also, does RSFA eliminate the need for surety in any of the small refiner companies?

. Who Qualifies

- ✓ [ssues to resolve:
 - Refinery capacity
 - > Number of employees

❖ Giant asked if RMP would support a waiver request to SBA from Giant. Since their service station segment employees many people, they will not qualify for the next sale unless a waiver is given. Bob Prael stated that the logic is there to support a waiver since the refinery capacity is small, and that we will run this by Lucy Q.

SBA message to Giant indicated that agency needs to ask SBA for a change, not the small business. Bob called SBA to clarify. SBA says there is no waiver to size requirements, but the agency can use different size requirement standards.

• Sale Procedures

- ✓ Issues to resolve:
 - > Lottery
 - \triangleright Lease selection (lottery #1 8 and then 8 1)
 - ❖ Proposal was made to conduct sale by bundling volumes according to grade of crude oil, giving everyone an equal chance at these volumes, and then for any volumes not taken in the 1st round, conduct a 2nd round reallocating volumes left over from the 1st round to all refiners.
 - Most liquid grades of oil are 1) LLS, 2) HLS, 3) BS, 4) EI, and 5) deep stuff such as Mars and Posidon.

Miscellaneous

Next steps: .

- 1) Make sure transportation issue not a factor and therefore we can have deliveries at the market center.
 - I have heard that Mr. Rosenbusch has state that we should continue to do things the way we have been doing them.
- 2) Get the list of leases together.
- 3) RVD and the refiners work on the pricing formula.

After the meeting, Dave D. called to say that RVD felt the best way to proceed on pricing was to have a competitive bidding from small refiners. The base price will be the X the factor subject to the bid.

Lucy wants this researched more.

- 4) Decisions to make
 - . Supporting Giant's waiver request to SBA.
 - . OMB question on information collection (leases by operator with oil type, market center, etc.). Dennis Jones says we can handle as follows:
 - . Emergency information collection authority
 - . See if questions in Determination of Need can be seen as covering new questions. If so, no need for new information collection authority
 - Mcct with industry or just present lease schedule as information already provided by industry and asking for industry comments, problems, etc. In this case, no need for an information collection authority.

Summary

Month	Yolume.	Value	Admin Fee.	Total Value.	Total Revenue	% RIK	#Contract #Lea	as es
				•	includes Federal of Only			a .
Onshore:	0.32	0 00	0.00	0 00			0	CI Ci
Oct-913	0.33.	0.00	0.00	0.00			0	()
Nov-98	0 00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0	0
Dec-98	0.00	0.00	0 (10	0.00		kDIA(6)		
Sublotal	0.00	0.00						
Offshore:				45 750 006 61			6	149
Oct-98	1,189,097 93	12,724,595.27	26,391 40	12,750,986 67			6	149
Nov-98	1,041,024.27	12,883,441 00	28,087 99	12,911 528 99			6	147
Dec-98	\$183,857,96	12,204,822,78	29,596 07	12,234 418 85		#DIV/01	·	,
Subtolat	3,2113,980 16	37,812,859 05	84,075,46	37,896,934 51		#UI TIVI		
1st CITR	3,213,980 16	37,812,859.05	64,075.46	37,896,934.51	t	MDIV/O		
Onshore:				0.00			0	0
Jan-99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0	0
Feb-99	0.00	0 OC	0 00				Ō	0
Mar-99	00	0 00	0.00	0.00			-	
Subtolal	00	0.00	0 00	0 00				
Offshore.							6	147
Jan-99	1,120,37/ 53	12 225,608 81	35,775 08	12,264,38 3.6 9			6	147
Feb-99	843 697 97	6.945.995 00	35,973 44	8,982,968.52			•	
Mar-99	1 137,18 87	10,856,325 12	35,973 44	10,892,298.56				
Subtotal	3 101,25" 37	32,031,929.01	107,721 96	32,139,650.97				
2nd QTR	3,101,257.37	32,031,929.01	107,721.98	32,139,650.01	٢			
Cinshore:			0.00	0.00	1			
Apr.•99	000	0 00	0.00	0.0				
May-99	0.00	0 00	0.00	00				
Jun- 99	D. 90	0 00		0.0				
Subtotal	0.00	0.00	1) 00	0.0	•			
Offshore:	980,753.81	9,514,574 86	35,973 44	9,550,548 3	0			
Apr-99	1,004,4(8.35	10,856,181 84	35,973 44	10,902,155.2	8			
May-99	1,042,652.77	14 470 230 86	35,728 73	14,505,959 5				
Jur-99	(1,027,814 93	34,650,987.56	107,675 61	34,958,663 1	7			
Sublotal	UE F 0, 130,1,	A -4-34/44						
3rd QTR	3,027,814.93	34,850,987.56	107,675.61	34,9'58,663.1				

Summary

Onishore:				2.03	0.00
Jul. 99		0 (0	0.00	0 0)	
Aug-99		0 (10	0 00	0 03	0.00
Sep-93		0.110	ŋ 0 0	0 0)	0.00
Sulatotal		0.00	0 00	0.03	0 00
Offshore:				116 309 73	17,147,992.40
Jul-99		1,148,082 52	17 112,263 67	(15,728.73	0.00
Aug-99		0 30	0 00	0.00	
Sep-99		0.00	0.00	0 0	0.00
Sublotal		1,148,082 52	17 112,263 67	35,728 13	17,147,992 40
4th CTR		1,148,082.52	17,112,263.67	:35,728.13	17,147,992.40
Trotal Onshore		0.00	Q .00	0.00	0.00
		10,491,134 98	121,808,039 29	3 35,201."6	122,143,241.05
Total Offshore FY 1999 Total		10,491,134 98	121,808,039.29	335,201."6	122,143.241.05
	A	1	= 11	F265	
	A r™	far en	्नांचे, राज		

n.

Page 2

Gtat		Bill	Billed BELs	Billed Amt	Prebill	PB Qty	PB Ant		Bill Amt - PB Amt	Correct IDIff
Contract	yymm	1098	178,177 23	2,102,455 89		178,177 23	2,101,596.47		859.42	
4102	9810	11980006	196,645.53	2,648,104 20		196,645 53	2,628,475.30	0.00	19,628.90	
4102	9811	12980005	227,418 02	3,121,493 50		227,468 18	2,984,977,39			ok line artded
14102	9812	1990007	237,73" 70	2,860,676 48	1990007	237,737.70	2,770,419.00		i	l
14102	9901	2990006	234,483.20	2,390,193.75	2990006	234,483,20	(2,409,524.70	0 00	I .	l
14102	9902	3990006	230,811 90	2,578,334.95	3990006	230,811.90	2,473,691.42		4 .	1
4102	9903	4990006	204,045 59	2,244,260.27	4990006	204,045.59	2,097,313.68			į.
4102	9904	5990005	212,785.89	2,787,906.75		212,785.89	2,572,539.67	0.00	.	1
14102	9905	6990006	170,893.54	2,617,09868		170,893.54	2,392,598.78	0.00		•
94102	9906	. 6990000	1,892,998.60	23,350,524 47			22,430,936.41	ı	919,588.08	1
Contract Total		-	0.2046	0.2288		0.2046	0.2040	6 <u> </u>	0.2046	i] .
94102			0.2040	0.2200				1		
					į į	1				
		4 200	136,077.34	1,606,053 99	1008	136,077.34	1,459 170.9	1 0 00		1
94104	9810	1098	153,091.46	1,964,628 02		153,091 46	1,850,795 8	5 0.00		
94104	9811	11980002	148,281.21	2,0(19,390 03		148,281 21	1,870 783.8	B, 0.00		
94104	9812	12980002	146,271.42	1,753,923 16		146,271.42	1,652,335 6	7 0 X		1
94104	9901	1990(103	152,502 19	1,5411,862.79	1 F	152,532.19		a¦ on≪		
94104	9902	2990002	. '	1,705,686 32		159,177.17	1,593,225.6	4 .		_1
94104	9903	3990002		1,499,821 42	1	39,480.13		1 .		. 1
94104	9904	4990003	. 1	1,8:33,286.0		146,133 05		1		4
94104	9905	5990002	*	2,358,561.0	- I I	160,968 65		I -	0 146,831.4	9
94104	9906	6990002	1 1	16,243,214.7	~ i	1,342,012.62		. 1	1,043,659.0	1
Contract Total		i	1,342,012.62	0.159	I F	0.1450	1 -		0.145	0
94104	I	1	0 1450	g. (33	•	•				
	 	i				50.000.53	639,744.2	es 00	-29,676.4	13
9410€	9810	1098	52,270.57	610,067.8		52,270.57	1	[1
94106 :	9811	11980007			0 11980007	85,309.91		·	· -	1
94106	9812	12980000			3 12980006	58,858 63	4	·· - I		4
94106	9901	1990000	3 [!] 79,874.98			79,874 98	1	T = 1		L
94106	9902	299000	1	l				F 1	- I	1
94106	9903	399000	I	l			1			' 85₁ok line: add
94106	.9904	499000	1	i			1			40 ok line: add
94106	9905	599000	6 72,260.78	941,055.5	50 5990006	72,708 1	7 803,064	IU -441.	101,3013	15 311 1111 300

Contract.	yymm	Bill	Billed BBLs	Billed Amt	Prebill	PB Qty		• .		Correct Diff
4106	9906	59900107	42.507 49	666,017-14	6 990007	42,507.49	614,597.57	0.00	51,419 57	
ontract Total	.,,,,,,,		E02,731.77	7,149,163.29	!	602,919.74	7,030,053.88		119,109.41	
4106		• •	0.0651	0.07/01		0.0652	0.0712		0.0364	
			-		1 1				_	
4107	9810	1098	84,113.29	2,200,878.11	10:38	184,113.29	2,250,792.81		-19,914.70°	
4107	9811	11980009	94,610.99	2,566,000 16	11980009	194,610.99	2,504,516.00		(31,484.16	
4107	9812	12980008	75,086 61	2,408,205 25	12980008	175,086.61	2,389,617.61	0.00	18,587.64	
14107	9901	19900 10	231,890.90	2,728,709 56	1 9900 10	231,890.90	2,844,132.86	1	-115,423.30	
14107	9902	2990009	165,543.08	1,556,741 74		165,543.08	1,594,178.70	1	-37,436.96	
14107	9903	3990009	248,289 96	2,722,443 79		248,289.96	2,647,372.93	1		
94107	9904	4990008	208,069.00	2,262,476 32	4990008	208,069.00	2,156,550.00	1		
94107	9905	5990008	214,349 38	2,709,612 09	1990008	214,349.38	2,521 971.46			
34107	9906	6990(109	261,178 09	3,851,308 22		261,170.09	3,685,915.8	1		I .
Contract Total			1,883,131.30	23,036,375.24			22,595,048.21		441,327.03	
34107			0.2035	0.2257		0,2035	0.228	7	0.1350	ļ
						1			İ	i
			'	. 0.6 .03 63		163,957.37	1,825,339 6	4 0.00	1,29,788.03	i
94112	9810	1098	163,957 37	1,955,127 67		222,199.03	2,728,874.5	1 .		1
94112	9811	11980011	i 1	2,856,933-21		163,898.11	2,172,136 8	⁻ l	1	
94112	9812	12980010		2,255,744-51		213,491.33	2,585,095.8	٠		
94112	9901	1990/013		2,538,144.65		192,919.26	1,701,427.0	TI	· •	1
94112	9902	2990/311		1,873,260.06	1 1		2,191,072.4			
94112	9903	3990010	1	2,316,698) 28		173,927.92	1,695,761.6	1	i	lick line adde
94112	9904	4990010		1,856,880.20	1	241,692.30	2,758,540.5	·	1	
94112	9905	5990010	i I	2,994,634 21			3,284,116.5	~l : _	i.	·
94112	9906	6990011		3,632,237.03	1	1,820,534.22	h '	·	1,317,294.9	
Contract Total	i I		1,820,109.24	22,259,659.9	1	0.1967		1	0.402	
94112	I	ļ	0.1967	0.218	' .	0.130 7	ļ			
	:	1,000	105 607 50	1,050,851.7	0 1098	. 165,627 56	! : : 1,050,851.7	70 ['] (10	0.0	0
94114	9810	1098	165,627.56						_	o]
94114	9811	11980018					1			6
94114	9812	1298(101)	193,125.56	1,431,109.2	1 12300111	100,110.00	,			

		Bill	Billed BBLs	Billed Amt	Frebill	PB Qty	PB Amt	Bill City -PE	Bill Amt - PB Arnt	
Contract	yymm		202,713.91	1,456,664 82	1990021	202,710.91	1,285, 104.92	0.00	171,559. 90	
4114	9901	1990021		1,261,820 01	2990018	214,517.68	1,039,602.74	0.00	222,217.27	 -
4114	9902	2990018	214,517.68	800,177 25	1990017	202,457.35	943, 141.08		-142,963. 83	
4114	9903	3990017	202,457.35		4990017	184,242.22	822, 304.27	l	l <u> </u>	'
4114	9904	4990017	184 242 22	820,731 50		199,734.39	1,243, 855.58	l	l	j
4114	9905	5990017	199,734 39	833,946, 45	(990017			1	1 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
4114	9906	6990018		942,061 70	(990018	. <u>l</u>			-570,978.32	i ·
Contract Total		1	1,712,591. 92		•	1,712,591.92		1	-0.1746	· F
4114			0.1851	0,09 81'		0.1851	D. 107 1	ļ	5.,1140	
fotats		1	9,253,575.45	102,047,984 .02		9,254,238.56	98,777,983.8	-663.11	3,270,000.13	
			¦ BillBBU/lolal	BillAmt/Total		PbQty/total	PBAmt/:otal		Diff/total	
	-		0.2046			0.2046	0.204	Б	0.2046	4
4102			0 1450			0.1450	0.145	Dļ	0.11450	- 1
94104			0 0651	•		0.0652	1	2 .	0.036	4
94106				l	-	0.2035	1	•	0.135	o
4107	;		0 2035	4		0.1967	1	1	0.402	0
94112	l E		0.1967	I a second secon		0.1851	·		-0.174	Ğ,
94114	i		0 1851	0.0 981		0.1831	0.101	<u>-</u>		

Update on RIK Pilots

Wyoming Oil Pilot

- We are selling crude oil at the lease in the second of two 6-month terms. We are
 evaluating the revenue results and conducting the final volume reconciliation's for the
 first 6-month term--no results yet.
- We are also getting ready to start a second phase of the Wyoming Pilot in which we will test a marketing agent approach to selling royalty volumes, rather than just a plain venilla auction of royalty volumes at the lease. This will test whether MMS can realize any revenue gains from downstream sales.
- Also, discussions are underway between DOE, GSA, MMS, and several producers, to store Federal gas in Teapot Dome during the summer and fall months, and then sell it during the higher-priced winter months. The Wyoming Governor has supported this project in a letter to the MMS Director

Texas 8(g) Pilot

This pilot is split into two sub-projects:

nine leases is being taken in kind, transferred to GSA, whose agent is selling the gas either on the spot market or to Federal agencies for utility savings. To date, revenue results have been marginally positive compared to estimates of in value proceeds.

b. General Land Office (GLO)/MMS. Starting June 1, a large volume of gas from 5 Matagorda Island leases (including the 2nd largest gas producer in the GOM) will be taken in-kind, transported to the Matagorda Gas Plant tailgate area by the operator, and sold by our new MMS/GLO Austin office by competitive bidding during bid week. Monthly sales are expected. This will test in-kind from large producers with large volume swings and will be the first time we have directed the operator to move gas onshore for us.

Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) Pilot

This pilot is divided into two phases:

a. Phase 1 is underway with deliveries of crude being made to DOE under negotiated agreements for a 3-month period

b. Phase 2 will start immediately after the first phase and will follow an auction process in which companies will bid to take the royalty stream at the lease and deliver a percentage of the stream to DOE at or near the SPR facilities. The companies bidding the highest percentage of oil to be delivered to DOE will be the successful bidders.

GOM Pilot

· Planning is underway for a limited October start-up

Updated 5/14/99